**Introduction**

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality in the world, with many people dying due to late-stage diagnoses. As a result, it has become increasingly important to determine sub-populations and area-types that have an increased risk for the disease. In the United States alone, approximately 228,280 people are projected to be diagnosed with lung cancer in 2020.

**Project Overview**

The goal of this study was to model the spatial (across different counties), temporal (over time), and spatiotemporal relationships of lung cancer across the state of Texas. In addition, COVID-19 and other socioeconomic factors were investigated to determine any kinds of associations. All cancer data was from the Texas Cancer Registry and processed via the SEER\*Stat software.

**Explanation of Histologic Types:**

There are multiple classifications of lung cancer called 'histologic types' based on the appearance of the cancerous cell under a microscope. Only pathologists have the expertise to identify the specific visual differences between histologic cell types. Each has a unique etiology, therefore affecting people differently, meaning each should be studied individually. The grey boxes in the following diagram show the divisions of and relative prevalence of the four histologic types included in this analysis.

As shown above, carcinomas (cancer forms in the skin/ tissue cells lining internal organs) are far more common than sarcomas (forms in connective tissue cells like fat and blood vessels). Most carcinomas are non-small cell; adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas are the two most common histologic types classified under this category.

**Using the Dashboard**

The sidebar on the left can be used to navigate through the various sections of the dashboard. The top of each page contains a blurb detailing its contents. There are multiple maps being constructed in addition to thorough sampling procedures, so please be patient with the page as it may need 1-2 minutes to load the appropriate results once a selection is made.
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**General Trends Explanation:**

On this “General Trends” page of the dashboard, the first set of plots show the spatial relationships of lung cancer in order to visualize where there may be counties/ regions with abnormally high rates of specific lung cancers. The second set of plots show the temporal trends of different lung cancer types between 1995 and 2015. In addition, through the selection of inputs, the trends regarding specific age and/or gender groups can be investigated.

Disclaimer: Starting in 2001, a new category called “non-small cell carcinoma” was added under this classification, which may be the cause of the increase in cases during this time.

**SIR Plots & INLA Modeling Page**:

This **SIR Plots & INLA Modeling** page of the dashboard visualizes the spatiotemporal relationships of both the **true and modeled** data for each histologic type of lung cancer at both the **state and county** levels for the following three metrics:

(1) Observed (true) number of lung cancer cases

(2) Expected number of lung cancer cases: this number was calculated based on 5-year population census data. Essentially, state-wide

(3) Standardized Incidence Ratios (SIR’s). SIR = Expected/ Observed

SIRs are useful because they have a straightforward interpretation. A value greater than 1 indicates a potential high incidence county or “hot spot” that may be at particular risk for lung cancer. A value less than 1, on the other hand, indicates a “cold spot”.

**What is the importance of modeling the data?** Independence between each possible pair of observations (in this case, an observation is the relative risk or rate of lung cancer in a county for some year) is an assumption made when analyzing data. However, this is an unfair assumption because there exist **three types of correlations** that must be considered in order to accurately understand lung cancer trends:

(1) Spatial: Counties that are close to one another often share socioeconomic traits and topographies.

(2) Temporal: Various events/ anomalies happen in certain years that are unrelated to lung cancer but still influence the counties’ rates

(3) Spatiotemporal: The two effects above may interact with one another and contribute to additional variation

For this project’s analysis, a combination of the **Bernardinelli Model** (2) and **Leroux Model** (3) was used to model the relative risk of lung cancer. It was implemented through the R-INLA (4) software by the methods outlined in both Moraga (5) and Rubio-Gomez V (6).

By getting rid of uncertainty and unnecessary noise, model-based relative risk (RR), provides a smoothed version of SIR, is less vulnerable to abnormalities, and is generally considered more accurate.
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**Socioeconomic Patterns**

1: Metro area (Population > 1 million)

2: Metro area (1 million > Population > 250,000)

3: Metro area (250,000 > Population)

4: Urban area adjacent to metro area (Population > 20,000)

5: Urban area NOT adjacent to metro area (Population > 20,000)

6: Urban area adjacent to metro area (19,999 > Population > 2,500)

7: Urban area NOT adjacent to metro area (19,999 > Population > 2,500)

8: Rural area OR (2,500 > Population) adjacent to metro area

9: Rural area OR (2,500 > Population) NOT adjacent to metro area

RUCC method cited: Houston KA, Mitchell KA, King J, White A, Ryan BM. Histologic Lung Cancer Incidence Rates and Trends Vary by Race/Ethnicity and Residential County. J Thorac Oncol. 2018; 13(4):497-509. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2017.12.010

**COVID-19 and Lung Cancer**

This “COVID-19 and Lung Cancer” page of the dashboard contains side-by-side plots comparing the relative rates of lung cancer and the recent COVID-19 epidemic that has been significantly straining Texas hospitals. It is hypothesized that COVID-19 can have adverse effects on peoples’ lungs, so when assigning health funding, it’s essential to consider these two diseases in relation to one another.

A subject of interest would be to determine whether there is a relationship between how counties (specifically their health systems) are affected by COVID-19 and how they are affected by lung cancer. In order to investigate the potential associations, two spatial models were constructed:
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